

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. **Date of Submission:** 2010-03-19 19:02:59

2. **Agency:** 006

3. **Bureau:** 48

4. **Name of this Investment:** NOAA/OCIO/ NOAA Grants On-line

5. **Unique Project (Investment) Identifier:** 006-48-04-00-01-3802-00

6. **What kind of investment will this be in FY 2011?:** Operations and Maintenance

- Planning
- Full Acquisition
- Operations and Maintenance
- Mixed Life Cycle
- Multi-Agency Collaboration

7. **What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? ***

8. **Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap; this description may include links to relevant information which should include relevant GAO reports, and links to relevant findings of independent audits.**

The NOAA Grants Online System provides grants management automation in support of grant application evaluation, award, and long-term management and operations processes. Specifically Grants Online provides a standardized set of automated processes for viewing, retrieving, modifying, and deleting Application and Grant related information including, but not limited to: grant applications, awards, amendments, audits, proposal scoring and commentary, budget and finance data, as well as Technical and Panel Peer Review information. The Grants Online Project Team works in conjunction with and support of the President's E-Grants Initiative and ensures that grant application information keyed into the Grants.gov system is imported into the Grants On Line system for use by all relevant NOAA components. A key component of the Federal E-Grants initiative recognizes that each Agency has requirements specific to its own grant making processes. At the time Grants Online was developed, each Agency participating in the E-Grants initiative was tasked with the development of its own back-end system that should be capable of importing grants data (E.g., applications and status reports) entered in the E-Grants Front-end. Grants Online automates key business rules and processes specific to NOAA's Grant Making Community as well as specific business elements outlined in the Department of Commerce (DOC) Interim Grants processing manual. The system platform was developed to be scalable to accommodate future change and enhancements in response to changes in grants management processes and policy.

- a. **Provide here the date of any approved rebaselining within the past year, the date for the most recent (or planned) alternatives analysis for this investment, and whether this investment has a risk management plan and risk register.**

9. **Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? ***

- a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? *

10. **Contact information of Program/Project Manager?**

- **Name:** *
- **Phone Number:** *

- Email: *

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per FAC-P/PM)? *

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-PMPM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/OM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

12. If this investment is a financial management system, then please fill out the following as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory (FMSI):

Financial management system name(s)	System acronym	Unique Project Identifier (UPI) number
*	*	*

a. If this investment is a financial management system AND the investment is part of the core financial system then select the primary FFMIA compliance area that this investment addresses (choose only one): *

- computer system security requirement;
- internal control system requirement;
- core financial system requirement according to FSIO standards;
- Federal accounting standard;
- U.S. Government Standard General Ledger at the Transaction Level;
- this is a core financial system, but does not address a FFMIA compliance area;
- Not a core financial system; does not need to comply with FFMIA

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF FUNDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY1 and earlier	PY 2009	CY 2010	BY 2011	BY+1 2012	BY+2 2013	BY+3 2014	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SUBTOTAL:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL(including FTE costs)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

2. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2010 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

Table 1: Contracts/Task Orders Table

Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/Task Order	End date of Contract/Task Order	Total Value of Contract/Task Order (M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)
DOCG35F0001SDG13307 NC0315	Time and Materials	Y	2007-02-14	2007-02-14	2010-07-30	\$2.7	*	*	*	*	*

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

*

3. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? *

a.If "yes," what is the date? *

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

Table 1: Performance Information Table

2007	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	*	*	Decrease time spent on the administrative/p rocessing of grants and increase time on analysis.	Grants Online will increase the efficiency of the Grants Management Division by at least 20 percent.	The processing of NOAA grants will be completed with fewer resources while high quality is maintained. Time saved by using Grants Online will be used to better analyze grants' proposals and monitor the satisfactory completion of each grant.	Complete. The Grants Management Division has seen an increase in efficiency of approximately 25 percent due to Grants Online. Eliminating paper award files and allowing electronic task routing via Grants Online has proven successful.
2005	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	*	*	Using new technology, improve the NOAA grants management process.	NOAA Grants system was entirely paper-based at the beginning of FY05.	Deploy Grants Online internally to NOAA to reduce by at least 20 percent the number of paper-based grants that were handled previously.	Grants Online was successfully deployed in FY05 and paper-based grant submissions to the Grants Office declined by more than 25 percent.
2005	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	*	*	NOAA grants will be processed quicker and with fewer errors.	60 days from date of application to award.	45 days from date of application to award.	43 days from date of application to award.
2006	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	*	*	Provide NOAA Grants users with a system that is easier to use, less costly, and more intuitive.	The previous Grants System was a collection of multiple, separate systems that were run by individual NOAA Line Offices.	Design and deploy one NOAA Grants system that will standardize the entire NOAA Grants management process and provide a platform for measuring and improving the Grants process.	Complete. Grants Online is now the NOAA Grants system of record.
2011	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	*	*	% of customer complaints compared to total number of customers receiving services	TBD		

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)

Section A: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Help Desk, O&M Option	\$2.6	\$2.6	2005-02-07	2005-02-07	2007-02-21	2007-02-21	100.00%	100.00%
Help Desk Support (FTE Tier 3)	\$0.5	\$0.4	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30		60.00%	51.00%
Program Management	\$0.1	\$0.1	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30		60.00%	51.00%
Migration of Legacy Data	\$0.4	\$0.5	2004-07-21	2004-07-21	2004-12-15	2004-12-15	100.00%	100.00%
Contractor Support (Tier 1)	*	*	2013-10-01		2014-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Help Desk Support (FTE Tier 3)	*	*	2013-10-01		2014-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Contractor Support	\$1.0	\$1.0	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2005-09-30	2005-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Program Management	\$0.1	\$0.1	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Develop Grants Online and Deploy	\$0.1	\$0.1	2003-11-10	2003-11-10	2005-02-16	2005-02-16	100.00%	100.00%
Contractor Support	\$0.9	\$0.9	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Help Desk Support (FTE Tier 3)	*	*	2012-10-01		2013-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Program Management	*	*	2012-10-01		2013-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Help Desk Support (FTE)	*	*	2014-10-01		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Program Management	*	*	2011-10-01		2012-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Program Management (FTE)	\$0.2	\$0.2	2003-10-01	2003-10-01	2004-09-30	2004-09-30	0.00%	100.00%
Contract Support	\$0.9	\$0.9	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Program Mgt. (FTE)	\$0.1	\$0.1	2005-10-03	2005-10-03	2006-09-29	2006-09-29	100.00%	100.00%
Contractor Support (Tier 1)	*	*	2012-10-01		2013-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Program Management	*	*	2014-10-01		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
IT Support, FTE	\$0.0	\$0.4	2005-10-03	2005-10-03	2006-09-29	2006-09-29	100.00%	100.00%
Contractor Support (Tier 1)	\$1.3	\$0.6	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30		60.00%	51.00%
Program Management (FTE)	\$0.3	\$0.3	2002-10-01	2002-10-01	2003-09-30	2003-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Develop, Test, and Promote Grants Online Software Release 2.20 to Production	\$0.2	\$0.2	2008-06-02	2008-06-02	2008-08-16	2008-08-16	100.00%	100.00%
Additional Technical support in support on increase user base and workload associated with DOC Bureaus (Tier 1)	*	*	2011-10-01		2012-01-02		0.00%	0.00%
Help Desk Support (FTE Tier 3)	*	*	2011-10-01		2012-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Program Management	*	*	2013-10-01		2014-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Program Management (FTE)	\$0.2	\$0.2	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2005-09-30	2005-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Develop, Test, and Promote Grants Online Release 2.21 to Production	\$0.2	\$0.2	2008-08-18	2008-08-18	2008-10-25	2008-10-25	100.00%	100.00%
FTE IT Security	\$0.3	\$0.3	2005-10-03	2005-10-03	2006-09-29	2006-09-29	100.00%	100.00%
Contractor Support (Tier 1)	*	*	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Help Desk Support (FTE)	\$0.3	\$0.3	2007-10-01	2007-10-01	2008-09-30	2008-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Contractor Support	*	*	2014-10-01		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Hardware	\$1.0	\$1.0	2004-04-01	2004-04-01	2004-11-08	2004-11-08	100.00%	100.00%
Help Desk Support (FTE Tier 3)	*	*	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
IT Support (FTE)	\$0.2	\$0.5	2004-07-21	2004-07-21	2004-12-15	2004-12-15	0.00%	100.00%
IT Support (FTE)	\$0.4	\$0.4	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2005-09-30	2005-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Program Management	*	*	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Planning	\$0.5	\$0.5	2002-10-01	2002-10-01	2003-09-30	2003-09-30	100.00%	100.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
(FTE)								
Contract - Base Award	\$0.1	\$0.1	2003-08-29	2003-08-29	2003-11-07	2003-11-07	100.00%	100.00%
Deploy	\$0.6	\$0.6	2008-08-01	2008-08-01	2009-12-31	2009-12-31	100.00%	100.00%
Help Desk Support (FTE)	\$0.5	\$0.5	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Training & Helpdesk Development Option	\$0.5	\$0.5	2004-10-01	2004-10-10	2005-09-30	2005-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Requirement Analysis	\$0.6	\$0.1	2005-10-01	2005-10-01	2006-09-30	2006-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
IT Security (FTE)	\$0.3	\$0.0	2004-10-01	2004-10-01	2005-09-30	2005-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Contractor Support (Tier 1)	*	*	2011-10-01		2012-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Help Desk Support (FTE Tier 3)	*	*	2015-10-01		2016-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Contractor Support (Tier 1)	*	*	2015-10-01		2016-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
Program Management	*	*	2015-10-01		2016-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

* - Indicates data is redacted.